

Imagine that a company offering a service does not ask for government subsidies or special tax breaks or any other type dispensation - and that certain consumers are willing to pay for the service rendered. Sounds fair, doesn't it? A buyer equitably matched to a seller.

Ah, but those who this buyer has CHOSEN to listen and/ or watch their programming significantly less are unhappy. Never mind that the reason is because this consumer does not find their content meeting with his desires. Never mind that this buyer pays for the competitive service personally. No, never mind at all.

Well, if the legislature decides to begin making the desired service difficult to purchase, whether through taxation and/ or non-sensical regulation, then this voter might suggest the lobbyists and government representatives next target becomes book publishers...

Think about it.

How is it that the problem is not in the regulation, but in the simple concept that the product is more desirable to the consumer? A rather old-fashioned concept, mind you. Perhaps we should also do away with automobiles and go back to horse and buggy? What else might we do away with as while it may meet a consumer's desires and choices, it might also negatively impact the current established providers - regardless of whether or not they have worked to keep current and meet with the consumers' wishes.

Obvious, this voting consumer strongly voices his opposition to inane governmental interference.

Thank you.

Ron Mentzer  
Richmond, Virginia